



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATT

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/722,663	11/28/2000	Farhad Fouladi	723-963	5292
27562	7590	02/10/2005	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, P.C. 1100 N. GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201			WANG, JIN CHENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/722,663	FOULADI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2672	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2004 and 08 October 2004.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 10-23 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 10-23 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/09/2004 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, 10-12, 18-22 have been amended. Claims 2 and 9 have been canceled. Claims *1, 3-8, 10-23* are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims *1, 3-8, 10-23* have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection based on unpatentable over Chen U.S. Pat. No. 6,532,018 (hereinafter Chen) in view of Migdal et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,426,753 (hereinafter Migdal). For example, Chen discloses M chip memory organization (the copy pipeline) which permits very fast copying of data from the embedded frame buffer memory to texture memory wherein the data is repacked into a selected texture format before and during copying out to the texture memory (*e.g., column 2, lines 28-49; column 3, lines 4-42; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12*). It is not clear whether the texture memory in Chen is resident to the same M chip as the frame buffer memory or a different M chip from the frame buffer memory. However, Migdal discloses data from one M chip is accessible to another M chip over an internal network (Migdal col. 6), i.e., *the texture data in the frame buffer memory of one M chip can be*

requested and routed to the texture memory buffer of another M chip (Migdal col. 6). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated into Migdal's teachings that data from one M chip can be requested and routed to another M chip (main memory resident on another M chip) because Chen teaches a plurality of M chips within his graphics system (Chen Figs. 1-3) and the embedded frame buffer and texture buffer with each of the M chips and Chen suggests the logic processing operations within the logic core and copying operations of data from frame buffer memory to texture memory (Chen column 2-4) and therefore Chen suggests the copying out operations from the frame buffer memory of one M chip to the memory units of another M chip (Chen column 2-4) and Chen also discloses copying out the reformatted texture data to the display chip 18 via the rasterizer chip 16 (Chen column 2-4). One of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate Migdal's teaching because the texture data in the frame buffer memory of one M chip can be requested and routed to the texture memory buffer of another M chip (Migdal col. 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 12, 15-16, 18, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen U.S. Pat. No. 6,532,018 (hereinafter Chen).

4. Claim 12:

Chen teaches a method of transferring data from a graphics chip to a main memory, including:

Storing image data in an embedded frame buffer of the graphics chip (e.g., Figures 1-3; column 2, column 3, lines 4-19); and

Initiating a copy out operation for transferring data from the embedded frame buffer to the main memory of the graphics system (e.g., *the display chip 18 or the texture buffer of a M chip; see Figures 1-3; column 2-3, column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12*);

Converting the data from one format to another format during the copy out operation between the embedded frame buffer and main memory of the graphics system (e.g., Figures 1-3; figure 4a and 4b; column 2, lines 28-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12); and

Writing the converted data to the main memory of the graphics system (e.g., Figures 1-3; figure 4a and 4b; column 2, lines 28-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12).

Claim 15:

The claim 15 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 12 except additional claimed limitation that the converting step includes converting the data to a texture format, and the writing step includes writing the texture format data to a texture buffer. However, Chen further discloses the claimed limitation that the converting step includes converting the data to a texture format, and the writing step includes writing the texture format data to a texture buffer (e.g., *Chen is considered to inherently teaches copying out data from the embedded frame*

buffer resident on one chip to the texture buffer resident on another chip; see Chen Figures 1-3; figure 4a-4b; column 2, lines 43-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12).

Claim 16:

The claim 16 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 12 except additional claimed limitation that the converting step includes converting the data to a display format, and the writing step includes writing the texture format data to a display buffer. However, Chen further discloses the claimed limitation that the converting step includes converting the data to a display format, and the writing step includes writing the texture format data to a display buffer (e.g., Chen teaches copying out data from the embedded frame buffer resident on the M chip to the display chip 18; see Figures 1-3; figure 4a-4b; column 2, lines 43-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12).

Claim 18:

The claim 18 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 12 except additional claimed limitation that the writing step includes selectively writing the data to either a display buffer or a texture buffer in a main memory of the graphics system. However, Chen further discloses the claimed limitation that the writing step includes selectively writing the data to either a display buffer or a texture buffer in a main memory of the graphics system (e.g., Figures 1-3; figure 4a-4b; column 2, lines 43-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12).

Claim 21:

The claim 21 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 12 except additional claimed limitation of performing an anti-aliasing operation on the data prior to writing the data to the main memory of the graphics system. However, Chen further discloses the

claimed limitation of performing an anti-aliasing operation on the data prior to writing the data to the main memory of the graphics system (e.g., column 5, lines 25-35).

Claim 23:

The claim 23 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 12 except additional claimed limitation of performing RGB color format to another RGB color format. However, Chen further discloses the claimed limitation of performing RGB color format to another RGB color format (e.g., column 6, lines 1-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 3-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen U.S. Pat. No. 6,532,018 (hereinafter Chen) in view of Migdal et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,426,753 (hereinafter Migdal).

7. Claim 1:

(a) Chen teaches a graphics system, including:

A main processor (See Figure 1 and column 5, lines 34-45);

A graphics coprocessor having an embedded frame buffer (The DRAM graphics coprocessor having a logic core and memory units; see also column 3, lines 4-19);

A main memory on a separate chip from said graphics coprocessor (e.g., *memory units on a different and separate chip or M-chip because of the presence of a plurality of M chips; Figs. 1-3; col. 2-3*);

A copy pipeline on said graphics coprocessor which transfers data from the embedded frame buffer (*the embedded frame buffer of Figure 3 within the graphics coprocessor*) to said main memory (e.g., *the main memory can be the texture memory within the memory units of a M-chip or the main memory can be the display memory chip 18 of Figure 1 or the registers/buffers resident on the rasterizer chip. See Figures 1-3; column 2; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12*);

(b) It is not clear whether Chen discloses the claim limitation of “the copy pipeline converts that data from one format to another format after reading the data from the embedded frame buffer and during transfer of data from the embedded frame buffer to the main memory.”

(c) Chen discloses M chip memory organization (the copy pipeline) which permits very fast copying of data from the embedded frame buffer memory to texture memory wherein the data is repacked into a selected texture format before and during copying out to the texture memory (e.g., *column 2, lines 28-49; column 3, lines 4-42; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12*). It is not clear whether the texture memory in Chen is resident to the same M chip as the frame buffer memory or a different M chip from the frame buffer memory. However, Migdal discloses data from one M chip is accessible to another M chip over an internal network (Migdal

col. 6), i.e., *the texture data in the frame buffer memory of one M chip can be requested and routed to the texture memory buffer of another M chip* (Migdal col. 6).

(d) It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated into Migdal's teachings that data from one M chip can be requested and routed to another M chip (main memory resident on another M chip) because Chen teaches a plurality of M chips within his graphics system (Chen Figs. 1-3) and the embedded frame buffer and texture buffer with each of the M chips and Chen suggests the logic processing operations within the logic core and copying operations of data from frame buffer memory to texture memory (Chen column 2-4) and therefore Chen suggests the copying out operations from the frame buffer memory of one M chip to the memory units of another M chip (Chen column 2-4) and Chen also discloses copying out the reformatted texture data to the display chip 18 via the rasterizer chip 16 (Chen column 2-4).

(e) One of the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate Migdal's teaching because the texture data in the frame buffer memory of one M chip can be requested and routed to the texture memory buffer of another M chip (Migdal col. 6).

Claim 3:

The claim 3 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 1 except additional claimed limitation of the copy pipeline being operable to selectively transfer the data to either a display buffer or a texture buffer within said main memory. However, Chen and Migdal further disclose the claimed limitation of the copy pipeline being operable to selectively transfer the data to either a display buffer or a texture buffer within said main memory (*e.g., Chen Figures 1-3; column 2, lines 28-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12; Migdal col. 6*).

Claim 4:

The claim 4 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 3 except additional claimed limitation of the copy pipeline converting the data to a display format if the data is transferred to the display buffer and a texture format if the data is transferred to the texture buffer. However, Chen and Migdal further disclose the claimed limitation of the copy pipeline converting the data to a display format if the data is transferred to the display buffer and a texture format if the data is transferred to the texture buffer (*e.g., Chen Figures 1-3; column 2, lines 28-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12; Migdal col. 6*).

Claim 5:

The claim 5 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 3 except additional claimed limitation that the graphics system further includes a graphics pipeline, wherein the graphics pipeline is operable to use the data in the texture buffer during a rendering process.

However, Chen further discloses the claimed limitation that the graphics system further includes a graphics pipeline, wherein the graphics pipeline is operable to use the data in the texture buffer during a rendering process (*e.g., Figures 1-3 and column 1, lines 30-47*).

Claim 6:

The claim 6 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 1 except additional claimed limitation that the copy pipeline selectively reads data from the embedded frame buffer in RGB color format or YUV color format. However, Chen further discloses the claimed limitation that the copy pipeline selectively reads data from the embedded frame buffer in RGB color format or YUV color format (*e.g., Figures 1-3; figure 4a-4b; column 2, lines 43-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12*).

Claim 7:

The claim 7 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 6 except additional claimed limitation of the copy pipeline converting the data from the embedded frame buffer to either a display format or a texture format. However, Chen and Migdal further disclose the claimed limitation of the copy pipeline converting the data from the embedded frame buffer to either a display format or a texture format (*e.g., Chen Figures 1-3; figure 4a-4b; column 2, lines 43-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12; Migdal col. 6*).

Claim 8:

The claim 8 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 7 except additional claimed limitation that the copy pipeline writes the data to a display buffer when the data is converted to a display format and the copy pipeline writes the data to a texture buffer when the data is converted to a texture format. However, Chen and Migdal further disclose the claimed limitation that the copy pipeline writes the data to a display buffer when the data is converted to a display format and the copy pipeline writes the data to a texture buffer when the data is converted to a texture format (*e.g., Chen Figures 1-3; figure 4a-4b; column 2, lines 43-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12; Migdal col. 6*).

Claim 10:

The claim 10 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 6 except additional claimed limitation of the graphics pipeline selectively converting the data read from the embedded frame buffer to a YUV color format or an RGB color format. However, Chen further discloses the claimed limitation of the graphics pipeline selectively converting the data

read from the embedded frame buffer to a YUV color format or an RGB color format (*e.g.*, *Figures 1-3; figure 4a-4b; column 2, lines 43-49; column 4, lines 48-67; column 5, lines 1-12*).

8. Claims 11, 13, 14, 17, 19, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen U.S. Pat. No. 6,532,018 (hereinafter Chen) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Migdal et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,426,753 (hereinafter Migdal), Nally et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,506,604 (hereinafter Nally).

9. Claim 11:

(1) Chen has taught the claim limitation as recited in claim 1.

(2) However, it is silent on converting the image data from YUV color format to RGB color format or vice versa.

(3) However, Nally teaches converting the image data from YUV color format to RGB color format or vice versa (Nally column 5, lines 1-67; column 6, lines 1-59).

(4) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the Nally's teachings into the Chen's method and system of transferring data from a graphics chip to a main memory because Chen suggests a display chip which directs the rasterizer chip what data to retrieve from the frame buffer and provides some formatting of the data before sending it to the display chip for data to be displayed on a monitor (Chen column 2, lines 30-50) and therefore suggesting an obvious modification.

(5) Therefore, it would have been obvious to have incorporated Nally's decoding and encoding circuitry because it facilitates logic for color conversion from scaling/zooming of the incoming video and graphics data in the frame buffer.

10. Claims 13, 14, 17, 19, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen U.S. Pat. No. 6,532,018 (hereinafter Chen) as applied to claim 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Nally et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,506,604 (hereinafter Nally) and Nakamura et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,384,831 (hereinafter Nakamura).

11. Claims 13, 14, 17, 19, 20 and 22:

(1) Chen has taught the claim limitation as recited in claim 12.

(2) However, it is silent on converting the image data from YUV color format to RGB color format or vice versa; the display format being a YUV 4:2:2 format and performing a scaling/gamma correction/de-flickering operation on the image data.

(3) However, Nally teaches converting the image data from YUV color format to RGB color format or vice versa; the display format being a YUV 4:2:2 format and performing a scaling operation on the image data (Nally column 5, lines 1-67; column 6, lines 1-59) and performing a de-flickering/gamma correction operation on the image data (Nakamura the abstract).

(4) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the Nally and Nakamura's teachings into the Chen's method and system of transferring data from a graphics chip to an external image storage location because Chen suggests a display chip which directs the rasterizer chip what data to retrieve from the frame buffer and provides some formatting of the data before sending it for data to be displayed on a monitor (Chen column 2, lines 30-50) and therefore suggesting an obvious modification.

(5) Therefore, it would have been obvious to have incorporated Nally's decoding and encoding circuitry because it facilitates logic for color conversion from scaling/zooming of the incoming video and graphics data in the frame buffer and Nakamura's image processing operation so that desired image effect can be obtained.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (703) 605-1213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 6:30 (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jcw

Jeffrey A. Brier
JEFFREY A. BRIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER